

FEEDBACK PROFORMA FOR EXAMINERS
THEORY EXAMINATION AND VALUATION (UG/PG)

Please respond to the following questions by putting tick mark in the appropriate boxes

Sl No	Item	Not at all	To very little extent	To some extent	To large extent	To great extent
1	Questions were within syllabus					✓
2	Weightage given to different topics of syllabus was appropriate					✓
3	Questions were of appropriate standard					✓
4	The time allotment and expected length of answer were corresponding					✓
5	Allocation of marks to different set of questions were appropriate					✓
6	Long essay questions were well framed				✓	
7	Short essay questions were well framed					✓
8	Questions were addressing different domains of cognitive learning					✓
9	Do you think short answer questions are necessary in Post graduate exams	✓				
10	Arrangements in the valuation hall were adequate				✓	

11. WORK LOAD WAS APPROPRIATE

12. ANY OTHER (SPECIFY)

Suggestions:
 ① for ONLINE Paper valuation
 ② Increase ~~Remuneration~~ Remuneration on par with RGUHS for theory paper valuations and also clinicals. Evaluation

NAME: Dr. RAJESH MURTHI

MOBILE NUMBER: 988066 8181

SUBJECT: General Medicine

PAPER:

DATE: 10-02-2020

SIGNATURE



FEEDBACK PROFORMA FOR EXAMINERS
PRACTICAL EXAMINATION (UG/PG)

Please respond to the following questions by putting tick mark in the appropriate boxes

Sl No	Item	Not at all	To very little extent	To some extent	To large extent	To great extent
1	Exercise/ Cases allotted to the candidates were within the purview of syllabus					✓
2	Time allotted for exercises/ long and short case were adequate					✓
3	Rare/ Uncommon cases or exercises were given to the candidates	✓				
4	Punctuality of candidates was satisfactory			✓		
5	Practical exercises were of satisfactory standard					✓
6	The exercises covered all three domains of learning					✓
7	There was a scope to assess communication skills and professionalism of students					✓
8	Arrangements for practical exams were satisfactory					✓
9	Internal examiners and expert assistants were responsive to your needs					✓
10	Do you think OSPE/OSCE/OSLER* can be introduced as a part of assessment in final exams					✓

*OSCE: Objective Structured Clinical Examination OSPE: Objective Structured Practical Examination

OSLER: Objective Structured Long Case Examination and Report

11. Do you think any of the exercises in practical examination can be added / removed?

Added	Removed

12. WORK LOAD WAS APPROPRIATE

Yes

No

13. ANY OTHER (SPECIFY)

Increase Remuneration on par with RGPHS

NAME: *Dr. KRISHNA MURthy*

MOBILE NUMBER: *9880668121*

SUBJECT: *General medicine*

DATE: *10-02-2020*

SIGNATURE

[Signature]

FEEDBACK PROFORMA FOR EXAMINERS
PRACTICAL EXAMINATION (UG/PG)

Please respond to the following questions by putting tick mark in the appropriate boxes

Sl No	Item	Not at all	To very little extent	To some extent	To large extent	To great extent
1	Exercise/ Cases allotted to the candidates were within the purview of syllabus				✓	
2	Time allotted for exercises/ long and short case were adequate				✓	
3	Rare/ Uncommon cases or exercises were given to the candidates			✓		
4	Punctuality of candidates was satisfactory				✓	
5	Practical exercises were of satisfactory standard				✓	
6	The exercises covered all three domains of learning				✓	
7	There was a scope to assess communication skills and professionalism of students				✓	
8	Arrangements for practical exams were satisfactory				✓	
9	Internal examiners and expert assistants were responsive to your needs					✓
10	Do you think OSPE/OSCE/OSLER* can be introduced as a part of assessment in final exams			✓		

*OSCE: Objective Structured Clinical Examination OSPE: Objective Structured Practical Examination

OSLER: Objective Structured Long Case Examination and Report

11. Do you think any of the exercises in practical examination can be added / removed?

Added	Removed

12. WORK LOAD WAS APPROPRIATE

Yes

No

13. ANY OTHER (SPECIFY)

NAME: DR. H. KRISHNASHANKAR MOBILE NUMBER: 9844076372 SUBJECT: MEDICINE

DATE: 13/02/2020

SIGNATURE

H. Krishnashankar

FEEDBACK PROFORMA FOR EXAMINERS
THEORY EXAMINATION AND VALUATION (UG/PG)

Please respond to the following questions by putting tick mark in the appropriate boxes

Sl No	Item	Not at all	To very little extent	To some extent	To large extent	To great extent
1	Questions were within syllabus				✓	
2	Weightage given to different topics of syllabus was appropriate				✓	
3	Questions were of appropriate standard				✓	
4	The time allotment and expected length of answer were corresponding			✓		
5	Allocation of marks to different set of questions were appropriate			✓		
6	Long essay questions were well framed				✓	
7	Short essay questions were well framed				✓	
8	Questions were addressing different domains of cognitive learning			✓		
9	Do you think short answer questions are necessary in Post graduate exams			✓		
10	Arrangements in the valuation hall were adequate					✓

11. WORK LOAD WAS APPROPRIATE

12. ANY OTHER (SPECIFY)

Please increase the fees for valuation of answer scripts.
Rs 40/paper is very less.
Atleast please make it Rs 100/paper

NAME: DR. H. KRASASHEKAR

SUBJECT: General medicine

DATE: 13/02/2020

MOBILE NUMBER: 9844076372

PAPER: I

SIGNATURE: H. Krasashekar

FEEDBACK PROFORMA FOR EXAMINERS
THEORY EXAMINATION AND VALUATION (UG/PG)

Please respond to the following questions by putting tick mark in the appropriate boxes

Sl No	Item	Not at all	To very little extent	To some extent	To large extent	To great extent
1	Questions were within syllabus				✓	
2	Weightage given to different topics of syllabus was appropriate				✓	
3	Questions were of appropriate standard				✓	
4	The time allotment and expected length of answer were corresponding				✓	
5	Allocation of marks to different set of questions were appropriate				✓	
6	Long essay questions were well framed				✓	
7	Short essay questions were well framed				✓	
8	Questions were addressing different domains of cognitive learning				✓	
9	Do you think short answer questions are necessary in Post graduate exams		✓			
10	Arrangements in the valuation hall were adequate				✓	

11. WORK LOAD WAS APPROPRIATE

12. ANY OTHER (SPECIFY)

Kindly make the theory valuation optional or restrict to two bundles

NAME: *Anilkumar H*

SUBJECT: *Medicine*

DATE: *07/02/2020*

MOBILE NUMBER: *9972400333*

PAPER:

SIGNATURE

[Signature]

2019-20

FEEDBACK PROFORMA FOR EXAMINERS
PRACTICAL EXAMINATION (UG/PG)

Please respond to the following questions by putting tick mark in the appropriate boxes

Sl No	Item	Not at all	To very little extent	To some extent	To large extent	To great extent
1	Exercise/ Cases allotted to the candidates were within the purview of syllabus				✓	
2	Time allotted for exercises/ long and short case were adequate					✓
3	Rare/ Uncommon cases or exercises were given to the candidates		✓			
4	Punctuality of candidates was satisfactory				✓	
5	Practical exercises were of satisfactory standard				✓	
6	The exercises covered all three domains of learning					✓
7	There was a scope to assess communication skills and professionalism of students					✓
8	Arrangements for practical exams were satisfactory					✓
9	Internal examiners and expert assistants were responsive to your needs					✓
10	Do you think OSPE/OSCE/OSLER* can be introduced as a part of assessment in final exams					✓

*OSCE: Objective Structured Clinical Examination OSPE: Objective Structured Practical Examination

OSLER: Objective Structured Long Case Examination and Report

11. Do you think any of the exercises in practical examination can be added / removed?

Added	Removed

12. WORK LOAD WAS APPROPRIATE

Yes

No

13. ANY OTHER (SPECIFY)

NAME:

MOBILE NUMBER:

SUBJECT:

DATE:

SIGNATURE _____

FEEDBACK PROFORMA FOR EXAMINERS
THEORY EXAMINATION AND VALUATION (UG/PG)

Please respond to the following questions by putting tick mark in the appropriate boxes

Sl No	Item	Not at all	To very little extent	To some extent	To large extent	To great extent
1	Questions were within syllabus					✓
2	Weightage given to different topics of syllabus was appropriate					✓
3	Questions were of appropriate standard					✓
4	The time allotment and expected length of answer were corresponding					✓
5	Allocation of marks to different set of questions were appropriate					✓
6	Long essay questions were well framed					✓
7	Short essay questions were well framed					✓
8	Questions were addressing different domains of cognitive learning					✓
9	Do you think short answer questions are necessary in Post graduate exams					✓
10	Arrangements in the valuation hall were adequate					✓

11. WORK LOAD WAS APPROPRIATE

12. ANY OTHER (SPECIFY)

NAME: Dr. S. Dhara Mahalingam

SUBJECT: Medicine

DATE: 07/02/2020

MOBILE NUMBER: 9708017285

PAPER: II Medicine

SIGNATURE: [Signature]

FEEDBACK PROFORMA FOR EXAMINERS
PRACTICAL EXAMINATION (UG/PG)

Please respond to the following questions by putting tick mark in the appropriate boxes

Sl No	Item	Not at all	To very little extent	To some extent	To large extent	To great extent
1	Exercise/ Cases allotted to the candidates were within the purview of syllabus					✓
2	Time allotted for exercises/ long and short case were adequate					✓
3	Rare/ Uncommon cases or exercises were given to the candidates	✓				
4	Punctuality of candidates was satisfactory					✓
5	Practical exercises were of satisfactory standard					✓
6	The exercises covered all three domains of learning					✓
7	There was a scope to assess communication skills and professionalism of students					✓
8	Arrangements for practical exams were satisfactory					✓
9	Internal examiners and expert assistants were responsive to your needs					✓
10	Do you think OSPE/OSCE/OSLER* can be introduced as a part of assessment in final exams					

*OSCE: Objective Structured Clinical Examination OSPE: Objective Structured Practical Examination

OSLER: Objective Structured Long Case Examination and Report

11. Do you think any of the exercises in practical examination can be added / removed?

Added	Removed

12. WORK LOAD WAS APPROPRIATE

Yes

No

13. ANY OTHER (SPECIFY)

NAME: DR. S. DHARMAJAYAM MOBILE NUMBER: 7708017285

SUBJECT: MEDICINE

DATE: 07/02/2020

SIGNATURE

[Handwritten Signature]